UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,323	10/31/2003	Mark Buehler	ITL.1025US (P16712)	9811
21906 TROP PRUNEI	7590 05/22/200 R & HU. PC	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS I	ROAD, SUITE 750		RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL	
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/698,323	BUEHLER, MARK	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory on o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w	with 27 CER 41 27 must be filed within two months of the data of
	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate (b) They raise the issues of province that (co. NOTE halos)	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or 	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<u> </u>	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficience was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does to See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S 13. ☐ Other: 	B/08) Paper No(s)
/Michael Barr/	Jason P Riggleman
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Examiner Art Unit: 1792

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues againts the 103 (a) rejection of claims 7, 17,8, and 18 over Andros et al. Claims 7 and 17 claim that the ligand provides a reducing agent property and claims 8 and 18 claim that the ligand has an oxidizing property. Examiner rejected the claims stating that "the cationic ligand is necessarily providing one of the three properties -- inert, reducting or oxidizing, depending on the medium and the chemical species in question -- it may be any of the three and is not independent of the cleaning solution and particles and contaminants contained therein". Applicant's main argument is "the fact that lots of things are a possibility (even though not taught by the reference) are of no moment". This argument is not persausive. In this instance -- there are only three possibilities -not lots; therefore, to say that the ligand is chemically reactive (reducing or oxiding) is obvious. Furthermore, it has been held than an obvious choice in design is not patentable (In re Kuhle 188 USPQ 7); however, in this instance the applicant is claiming a nearly inherent property of ligands. The rejection is maintained.